Serial No. 10/811,892

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-29 are pending and under consideration.

The rejections based on Saito and Park are now discussed. Claim 1, used as an example herein, recites a controller to generate inverter on/off signals whenever the horizontal synchronization signal is transiently changed. In contrast, Saito teaches a horizontal synchronization period or vertical synchronization period, referring to a blanking period or cycle in which the video display signal does not appear. Saito, col. 1, In. 66 to col. 2, In. 3.

Thus, Saito does not teach a controller to generate inverter on/off signals whenever the horizontal synchronization signal is transiently changed, as claimed.

The remaining references do not overcome these deficiencies. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejections is requested.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: _ 6-23-09

Michael J. Badaqlacca

Registration No. 39,099

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501